

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/898,121	07/03/2001	Hìroshi Ueda	BMID9714CUS	4449	
7	590 09/03/2003				
Roche Diagnostics Corporation			EXAMINER		
9115 Hague Road, Bldg. D. P.O. Box 50457 Indianapolis, IN 46250-0457			UNGAR, SUSAN NMN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	-
			1642	10	
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/898,121**

Applicant(s)

Ueda et al

Examiner

Ungar

Art Unit 1642



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 3, 2001 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) X This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 1-18 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) X Claims 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:

Art Unit: 1642

1. Claims 1-18 are pending in the application and are currently under prosecution.

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Anthony Caputa, Ph.D., Supervisory Patent Examiner at 703-308-3995. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - **Group I.** Claims 1-11 are drawn to chimeric polypeptides, classified in Class 540, subclass 350.
 - Group II. Claim 12 is drawn to a method of obtaining chimeric polypeptides, classified in Class 435, subclass 69.1.
 - **Group III.** Claims 13-14 are drawn to a method for selecting cells expressing a polynucleotide encoding sequence, classified in Class 536, subclass 23.1
 - **Group IV.** Claim 15 is drawn to a method for increasing the rate of proliferation of cells in a population, classified in Class 514, subclass 2.
 - **Group V.** Claim 16 is drawn to a method of reducing the number of cells in a populations, classified in Class 514, subclass 2.
 - **Group VI.** Claim 17 is drawn to a method for causing cytoloysis or phagocytosis of a target cell, classified in Class 514, subclass 2.

Art Unit: 1642

Group VII. Claim 18 is drawn to a method for determining an antigen in a sample, classified in Class 435, subclass 4.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions of Groups II-VII are materially distinct methods which differ at least in objectives, method steps, reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success.

The inventions of Groups I and III-VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (I) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (ii) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product [see MPEP § 806.05(h)]. In the instant case the chimeric polypeptide products as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as affinity chromatography.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by isolating the chimeric polypeptides from a cell that expresses them without benefit of an expression vector.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or

Art Unit: 1642

recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Group I is further subject to election of a single disclosed species.

Claim 1 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising antigens with different structures and functions wherein the antigens are (a) lysozyme (claim 4), (b) digoxin (claim 4).

6. Group I is further subject to election of a single disclosed species.

Claim 1 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising pairs of chimeric polypeptides wherein the effector sequences of the first and second polypeptide are both derived from receptors with different structures and functions wherein the receptors are (a) proliferation receptor, (claim 5), (b) degranulation receptor (claim 5), (c) cytotoxic receptor (claim 5), (d) phagocytic receptor (claim 5), (e) apoptosis receptor (claim 5), (f) erythropoietin receptor (claim 6). Claims 7-11 will be examined as they are drawn to the elected species.

7. Group II is further subject to election of a single disclosed species.

Claim 12 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising methods of obtaining chimeric polypeptides wherein the methods are materially distinct methods which differ at least in, method steps, reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success wherein the methods comprise (a) a single expression vector encoding both the first and second polypeptide (claim 12), (b) two expression vectors separately encoding the first and second polypeptide (claim 12).

8. Group VI is further subject to election of a single disclosed species.

Art Unit: 1642

Claim 17 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising methods for causing different effects upon target cells wherein the effects are caused by different mechanism wherein the methods are (a) cytolysis (claim 17), (b) phagocytosis (claim 17). The receptors recited at the end of the claim will be examined as they are drawn to the elected species.

- 9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner

Art Unit: 1642

to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

- 12. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Ungar, PhD whose telephone number is (703) 305-2181. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached at (703) 308-3995. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Effective, February 7, 1998, the Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1642.

Susan Ungar

Primary Patent Examiner

August 29, 2003